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Abstract: The understanding of the self is of paramount significance because as individuals we wonder who we are; where we come from; what the purpose of our life is, and what true happiness and misery entail. Muslim philosophers such as Razi, Farabi and Avicenna as well as Sufi mystics like Sanai, Attar and Rumi believe mankind is composed of an external form or body, and an internal entity, which is one’s true substance, ‘nafs’, self, mind or soul. Sufi mystics likewise the Muslim philosophers believe that mankind is a union of mind and body; nevertheless, they consider the physical body is a deception, as the true essence of human consists of his soul that is eternal and divine. They emphasize the immortal nature of the soul, created by God that returns through various stages to be in closer proximity to its Creator. They concur “although man is not primordial, he is eternal; while his corpus is terrestrial, his soul is divine; and although his essence is mixed with animal and voracious attributes; if he gets rid of his maleficence, man will reach the proximity of God, which represents its ultimate happiness.
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Introduction

The understanding of the self is of paramount importance, because as individuals we wonder who we are, where we come from, what the purpose of our life is, and what true happiness and misery entail. From a psychological point of view, the self is also defined as a person’s distinctive individuality, identity, essential nature, or collection of personal characteristics.¹ From a sociological perspective, the concept of ‘self’ highlights the reflective and reflexive ability of human beings to take themselves as objects of their own thought. A distinction is drawn between two aspects of the self; the ‘I’ which is spontaneous, inner, creative and subjective; and the ‘Me’ which is the organised attitudes of others, connects to the wider society, is more social and determined. The ‘Me’ is often called the self-concept – how people

see themselves through the eyes of others. The ‘self’ has also been defined as a location, rather than a substance or an attribute. The sense of self is located at a point in space, creating a perspective in time and a variety of positions in local moral orders. It is not having an awareness of some kind of being, particularly not an awareness of an entity at the core of one’s being.

With regards to the subject of the self, it has indeed been examined by different cultures and religious groups. One of the first descriptions of the self comes from the Upanishad religious scriptures from around 750 Before Christ (BC) that defines self (ātman) as the most inner core of human beings, and the ungraspable essence of mankind, ‘ātman’ or soul. Similarly, in accordance with the Mahavira religion (c. from around 600 BC), the world (from eternity) consists of animated ‘jiva’ (soul) and ‘ajiva’ (matter). The jiva contains the capacity for complete knowledge, moral excellence, and eternal happiness. Nonetheless, as the ‘jiva’ is, from its very creation being contaminated with ‘ajiva’ (matter), it cannot materialise this ability. The redemption of ‘jiva’ from this binding to the matter (physical body) is, therefore, only achieved if the matter (‘ajiva’) is abolished from the soul (‘jiva’). This salvation could be achieved only by a rigorously ascetic life and renouncing physical pleasure.

Heraclitus from Ephesus (c. 6th century BC), is one of the first Greek philosophers who refers to the divine origin of the human soul, calling it “primal fire.” Heraclitus also talks about the ‘world order’ that he calls universal “logos” or knowledge that rules over everything. Man’s soul is part of this universal logos, where it will return after his death.

Plato (c. 427-347 BC) is the first from the Gold Age of Greek philosophy who outlined the human soul as tripartite; ‘logistikon’ or logical (located in the head), “thumetikon” or emotional (located in the heart) and “epithumetikon” or appetitive (located in the stomach). Plato believes only the rational part of the human soul is eternal and originates from the ‘soul of the world.’ Correspondingly, Aristotle (c. 384-322 BC, Stagira, Greece) contemplates the human soul as tripartite, consisting of the vegetative (its functions are nutrition and reproduction), animal (that has abilities of sensual perception and relocation) and human, or rational. For Aristotle, the rational soul is immortal and does not die with the body. Aristotle views the body as the instrument (organ) of the soul. In Aristotle’s view, the perfection of each being lies in the perfection of the purpose that it is assigned. Because man is principally a rational being, the perfection of this quality is its final accomplishment.
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204–270 AD, Lycopolis, Egypt) believes in the divine origin of the human soul that he describes as the emanation of the 'highest being' (God). For Plotinus, the eternal, the highest being (the One or the First, God), tough overflows, and its effusive fullness generates everything. As the sun that radiates warmth without losing anything from its substance, likewise, the highest being radiates almost as its reflection and shadows everything that exists. Plotinus considers this emanation gradually and that there is a hierarchy of different spheres of existence, depending on their proximity to God. The divine soul is not God himself, who stands beyond it. Plotinus also talks about 'the world’s soul that is closest to god and ‘individual’ souls. Individual souls are situated between the world’s soul and the material world, which is considered the least perfect and the most distant from God. Plotinus believes the whole world spirit is present in every individual soul, and that every individual soul carries the complete universality. For Plotinus, because of the divine origin of the human soul, the highest objective of man and his happiness lies in his return and its unification with God, from whom it originates.

One of the most influential Christian philosophers of the middle ages, Thomas Aquinas (1225–1274 AD, Roccasecca, Italy), in line with Aristotle, defines the soul as the determining principle that creates the foundation of all phenomena of life. For man, it means “the principle of the thought activity, a rational soul, and the essential form of the human body.” Thomas Aquinas believes the human soul is immaterial and an essence independent of the material; hence, indestructible and immortal. Because the soul is independent of the body, it cannot be destroyed with the body. Moreover, he thinks that the human desire for immortality is not a deception, but a testimony to the immortality of the soul. Like Aristotle, Thomas Aquinas distinguishes vegetative, animal, and rational parts of the soul. Johannes Eckhart (1260 – 1327 AD, Köln, Germany), the prominent German mystic of the Middle Ages, is of the view that the human soul is created in the image of God; he says as God’s trinity, so is the human soul that consists of three spiritual powers; knowledge, vexation, and volition (desire), that Eckhart links with three central Christian values such as faith, love, and hope. However, as there is one God above the God’s trinity, the soul stands as a divine “flicker” above these three spiritual powers – “So pure, noble and chivalrous with nothing created inside it but only God with its pure divine nature.” “The flicker of the soul is the light of divine equity, that always returns to God.” For a man to unite with God, in Eckhart’s opinion, he should avoid sins that keep him away from God; reach the state of internal peace, and finally to come to “exemption”; parting from all earthy objects and consequently from oneself, and giving up one’s self volition and enter to the will of God. If the soul achieves such a state and gets rid of all that separates him from God, it will become God himself. The soul is such a state that enters eternity.
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Similarly, Jakob Böhme (1575-1624 AD, Görlitz, Germany), an influential philosopher of the renaissance and baroque age, believes in the divine nature of the human soul and its reunion with God as its highest aim and the only salvation. Nonetheless, he believes, until covetousness and disunity shackles man, it “would not release himself from them, unless he would not get rid of his greed, quietened his desires, and become the instrument of God he would not reach the deepest foundation of unity.”

Furthermore, the baroque epoch German philosopher Gottfried Wilhelm Leibniz (1646 – 1716 AD, Leipzig) uses the term “monad” for the soul. As described by Leibniz, the lowest monads are in dream-like or daze-state and have only dark and unconscience ideas. Higher monads, such as the human soul, have a conscience. The highest monad - god, has an infinite conscience and is omniscient. In Leibniz’s opinion, monads are individual entities, and there are no two similar monads. Each monad has its unique place, each reflects a unique universe of its own, and each has the potential to reflect the whole universe.

As far as Islamic philosophy and Sufi mysticism are concerned, self-knowledge has been one of the most important topics of their deliberation. Sufi mystics and Muslim philosophers emphasize that the purpose of man’s life is to discover the unique “tree” of knowledge and to consume its fruits, which will bring man “immortality.”

They concur that there is no higher knowledge than the knowledge of self, as “He who knows himself knows God.” In line with the Sufi tradition, God says, “I was a hidden treasure, and I desired to be known, so I created the creation in order that I may be known.” Every object reflects one or more of the divine attributions, however man, as the microcosm, reflects them all. Man, is the eye of the world, whereby God sees His own works.

\[12^{12}\text{Störig, 225.}
\[13^{13}\text{Störig, 292.}
\[14^{14}\text{Ghomshai Elahi Hossein, In the Realm of 365 Poems and Discourses from Rumi (Tehran: Sokhan Publishers, 2012), 199–201. See also Jallaluddin Mohammad Rumi-Balkhi, Masnavi e Ma’navi, Based on Qoniyat MSS, Dated 677 H, Edited by Kazem Bargnayi, vol. I & II (Tehran: Fekr e Roz, 2010), 434.}
\[15^{15}\text{Sanai-e- Qaznavi Abolmajd ibne Adam, Hadisat-Ol-Haigat va Shariat-OL-Tariqat, 8th Edition (Tehran: University of Tehran Press, 2015), 373. See also Balkhi Jalaluddin Mohammad, Manlama Fehe Ma Fehe; Moqadema, Taahib Wa Talihbat, Doctor Bahman Nezhat (Tehran: Entesharat e Sokhan, 2016), 9.; Rumi-Balkhi, Masnavi e Ma’navi, Based on Qoniyat MSS, Dated 677 H, Edited by Kazem Bargnayi, I & II: 980. See also Ghazali Mohammad bin Mohammad, Gozidah e Kimaye Sa’adat, 4th Edition (Tehran: Mosese Entesharat e Qhadyani, 2013), 50. See also Nizami Aruzi Samarkandi and Ahmad bin Umar bin Ali, Chahbar Maqyba (Four Discourses), Originally Written in circa 550 Hijri; Edited by Qazwini M (Tehran: Entesharat e Armaghan, 1905), 16.}
\[16^{16}\text{Abdurrahman bin Ahmad Jami, Haft Awrang (Tehran: Entesharat e Mahtab, 2003), 69. See alsoRumi-Balkhi, Masnavi e Ma’navi, Based on Qoniy MSS, Dated 677 H, Edited by Kazem Bargnayi, I & II:830.}
\[17^{17}\text{Jalaluddin Rumi, Selected Poems from the Divan-e-Shams-e Tabrizi Edited and Translated by Reynold Alleyn Nicholson (Maryland: IBEX Publishers Bethesda, 2001), 208.}
The ‘Nafs’ (Self), as Outlined by Early Philosophers and Sufi Mystics of Afghanistan and Iran

In terms of Farsi-Dari literature, the Arabic word “Nafs” نفس is used for the expression of the ego, self and identity. Nafs or soul is defined as a power that keeps a body alive and is also defined as a substance that is not physical and is rather one’s identity or the truth of a thing or a person. The word ‘nafs’ can also be translated as a person, a body, corpus, intention, blood as well as the male reproductive organ. Likewise, ‘nafs’ is used as a synonym for the spirit or ‘ruh’ روح. Ghazali distinguishes ‘nafs’ from the ‘ruh’ believing it is impossible for ordinary people to understand the truth about the ‘ruh’; referring to Quran “They question you concerning the Spirit. Say, The Spirit is of the command of my Lord, and you have not been given of the knowledge except a few”. Furthermore, The Quran refers to three forms of nafs; the carnal nafs or an-nafsul-amarah النَّفْسُ لََََّا مَارَةُ; ‘Yet I do not absolve my [own carnal] soul, for the [carnal] soul indeed prompts [men] to evil, except inasmuch as my Lord has mercy. Indeed, my Lord is all-forgiving, all-merciful”; the condemning nafs or an-nafsul-lawama النَّفْسُ اللَّوّامَةُ; “And I swear by the self-blaming soul”; and the nafs in peace, or an-nafsul-mutmaena النَّفْسُ المُطمَئِنَّةُ; ‘O soul at peace! Return to your Lord, pleased, pleasing!’. In fact, the theme of the ‘nafs’ or self is the principal subject of debate of the philosophers like Razi, Farabi and Avicenna as well as the three greatest Sufi mystics, such as Sanai Ghaznavi, Attar Nishapuri and Jalaluddin Balkhi – Rumi.

It is important to stress that especially in philosophical literature, the word intellect, aqhl عقل is used as the synonymous for the word soul, or nafs.

Methods and Objectives

This is a literature review of available works originally written in Farsi-Dari or Persian literature, and Arabic literature translated either into English, and Farsi-Dari, but also into other languages such as Czech or Slovak that the author is familiar with. The author has used originals works of Sufi mystics as Ghazali’s Kimya ye Sa’adat (The Alchemy of Happiness), Sana’i Ghaznavi’s The Hadighatul Haqigha wa Shari’atul Tariqha (The Garden of Truth and The Right Path); Attar’s Ellahi Namah and Manteq-ul-Tayr (The Conference of Birds), and Rumi’s Masnawi Ma’nawi, Diwan e Shams e Tabrezì and Fihe Mā Fih. The author has mostly used translation of philosophical works from Al-Kindi, Razi, Farabi and Avicenna. Nonetheless, the author has also had access to the Persian translation of Avicenna’s philosophical works.

This paper will examine the concept of the self-knowledge (‘nafs’) from the perspective of early Muslim philosophers such as Razi, Farabi and Avicenna, as well as the standpoints of Sufi mystics like Sanai of Ghazna, Attar of Nishapur, and Rumi of Balkh. The Aristotelian philosophy of soul has significantly influenced the early
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Islamic philosophy of ‘nafs’; nevertheless, the Muslim philosophers themselves had a significant influence on the further development of philosophy in Europe and around the world. Sufi mystics, being hugely prominent in Central Asia, the Middle East, and Muslim countries in general. What is distinctive of Islamic Sufi mysticism is that they guide to universal tolerance, saying all mankind in different ways search and worship the same God, and despite the difference of cults, there exists the one and only highest truth. Works of both great Muslim philosophers and Sufi mystics are known only to a limited number of researchers and academics. However, they are not as recognised and represented to the wider public as they deserve to be. In the following, the important questions are (1) what the nafs (self) is; (2) where we come from; (3) what the purpose of our life is, and (4) what true happiness and misery entail.

Results & Discussion

Who are we and where do we come from?

Early Muslim philosophers such as Al-Kindī (801-873, Kufa, Iraq), in his رسالة في العقل Risālah fil-Aql (Statement on the Soul), Zakarya al-Razı (854-925, Ray, Iran) in The Opera Philosophica; Abu Nasr al-Fārābī (c. 870-950, Faryab, Afghanistan) in The Aims of Aristotle’s Metaphysics أغراض ارسطوطاليس في كتاب ما بعد الطبيعه Aghrāz Arastutâles Fil-Kitābe Mā Ba’d al-Tabīa) and Abū Alî Hussein ibn Sinâ or Avicenna (980-1037) who came from a noble family from Balkh, Afghanistan in أَبِي الشِّفَا Asb-Shiffā (The Healing) and كتاب النجات the Kitāb e Nejât (The Deliverance), emphasise the immortal nature of the soul. They highlight its origins as a tripartite (vegetative, animal and rational) unified substance created by God, which returns through various stages to be in close proximity to its Creator, having lived a life controlled by its rational faculty. Farabi defines the soul as “that by which the living substance — I mean that which admits of life — is realized as substance, serving the triple function of being a formal, organized and final cause. For human beings, the intellect (soul) assumes the mantle of substance, it being “a principle underlying the essence of man,” both as an agent and final cause. In line with Aristotle, for Farabi, it is the rational faculty of the soul, which is unique to human beings and distinguishes them from plants and animals. It is also the only part of the soul to survive the death of the body. Both Razi and Avicenna, following Hellenistic tradition, locate the rational soul in the brain; the animal soul in the heart, and the vegetative soul in the liver. Nevertheless, in contrary to Razi and Avicenna, Farabi considers the rational soul as having no physical organ to sustain it. Avicenna, except for the terrestrial soul, or nafs ardi نفس ارضي (vegetative, animal and
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human soul), also talks of the celestial soul or *nafs samawi* (نفس سماوي) considering that all planets and the universe have a soul. For Avicenna, the vegetative soul consists of three faculties; (1) nutritive (*quwwah ghāziyah*)، (2) growing, (*quwwah nānīyah*) and (3) reproductive (*quwwah mowalledah*). Avicenna postulates that the animal soul functions via its kinetic (*quwwah munbarrekh*) and cognitive (*quwwah mundarrekh*) faculties. The kinetic factuality of the animal soul consists of (1) satisfying function or *quwwah shahwat* (lust and libido) triggering movements towards pleasurable behaviours; and (2) repulsing or *quwwah ḡāḏab* or anger functions, triggering towards repellent behaviours.

The cognitive faculty of the animal soul is conducted by its five external sensory faculties (visual, auditory, gustatory, olfactory and tactile senses) and by five internal faculties such as *quwwah bantasia* (the common sense); *quwwah musawwirha* or *quwwah khayal* (imagination); *quwwah muḥafikah* (thinking), and *quwwah ḡawm* (estimation); and *quwwah ḍekr* or hafẓa (memory). Avicenna defines the celestial or divine soul “*nafs samawi*” as unequivocally independent, self-conscious, substantial and immortal that is generated by God and emanated upon the body, having a natural inclination for the body that has come into being. Moreover, the soul is individuated by the specific nature of its designated body, which it strives to bring to moral and intellectual perfection.

As far as Sufi mystics are concerned, their perception of the nafs is parallel to Muslim philosophers; yet, they use a somewhat distinctive language. The influential theologian and mystic, Abu Hamid Mohammad Ghazali (أَبُو حَامِد مُحَمَّد بن الْغَزَالِي, born 940 in Tus, Iran) in his colossal *Kimya ye Sa’ādat* (The Alchemy of Happiness) expresses an opinion that “nothing is closer to man than himself” for Ghazali, a human being is composed of an outward shape, *ẓâher*, or the body, and the inward entity, called *jān* or *del*, or nafs. Ghazali believes it is the nafs that is the truth of a man and everything else is its servant, and its army. Ghazali believes man’s soul is tripartite, consisting of (1) “animal” *haywání*, preoccupied with eating, sleeping and fighting; (2) “demonic” *shaytání*, busy in stirring up mischief and deceit; and (3) an "angelic" *malakí* spirit, contemplating to the beauty of God, entirely free from animal and demonic qualities.

On the other hand, Abolmajd Majdud bin Adam Sanai of Ghazna (ابوالمجد مجدد بن آدم سنائي, 1080-1131, Ghazna, Afghanistan) in *The Hadiqhatu’l Haqiqah wa Shari’atul Tariqha* (The Garden of Truth and The Right Path)
posits it was the “Inventor” (مبدا mabda’) who created the existence (wujud) and the tri-dimensional nature (طبيعة tabi’at) from the combination of the matter (طية máya) and the form (صورة surat) as well as the time (زمان zaman) and space (دهر dahr). Afterward from the marriage of “seven celestial fathers” (seven planets: Moon; Mercury; Venus; Sun; Mars; Jupiter and Saturn) and four “terrestrial mothers” (water, wind, earth and fire) he nurtured “three children” (plants, inanimate objects and animals). When hundreds of thousands of worlds were created, he then revealed from the occult veil that which is distinctive of all creations, the essence or soul (جوهر jawhar) of man. Sanai describes four souls; (1) the “growing”, vegetative (روينده royenda) or “carnal” (شهوانی shahwání) sexual nafs; (2) the “acquisitive” (جوينده joyenda) hunter or animal (حيوانی haywání) nafs; (3) the “talking” (گوينده goyenda), dialectic or human (انسانی insání) nafs, and (4) the “purifying” (شوينده shoyenda) or divine (ربانی rabbání) nafs.33 It appears that Sana‘i expresses the doctrine of “Nafs jesmaniati-ul-huduth wa ruhaniyat-ul baqha” expressing an opinion that the human soul is being created and evolved from mineral; “after mineral and nature in mankind; know this that the invitation of reason, a’qbl (عقل intellect) was later. If the faith (نفس spirit) follows animal and vegetable, do not think it is strange. It is the same as when a child-girl first looks after a baby doll, and later (when a mother) cares for a child.”34 Similar opinion was expressed 100 years later by Sanai’s compatriot, Rumi; “First you were mineral, later you turned to plant. Then you became an animal; how could this be a secret to you? Afterwards you were made man, with knowledge, reason, and faith; Behold the body, which is a portion of the dust-pit, how perfect it has grown; When you have travelled on from man, you will undoubtedly become an angel; After that you are done with this earth: your station is in heaven.”35 Rumi in the Fihe Ma Fihe 36 referring to the Quran,37 contends that “you will surely experience state after state; So, what is [the matter] with them [that] they do not believe” explains the journey of humankind as “being created from nihility to mineral, from mineral to plant; from plant to animal; from animal to human; from human to angel”38 or ‘you were dust and became soul, you
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were ignorant and became wise; who he has led you so far will lead you further’ 39; or ‘make a journey out of self into self, O master; for such a journey earth became a quarry of gold’ 40. This idea was 500 years later expressed by Mulla Sadra ملا صدرا (1571-1640, Shiraz, Iran) who, based on his theory of “al-barakart al-jawhariyyab” or “substantial motion,” believes that human soul is not primary independent substance (as defined by Al-Kindî, Râzi, Fârâbî and Avicenna), however, it originates from the matter. The matter, based on its own substantial motion, gradually evolves and transforms from the material to immaterial. 41 Mulla Sadra, refers to Quran as a testimony of his views; “And certainly did We create man from an extract of clay” 42; ‘And Allah created you from dust, then from a sperm-drop; then He made you mate’ 43, or “And Allah has caused you to grow from the earth a [progressive] growth.” 44

Equally, Fariddudin Abu Hámid Mohammad Attar فريد الدين أبو حامد بن ابوبكر عطار (1145 – 1221, Nishapur, Iran) believes the substance of mankind is divine, and that the mankind is the purpose of the creation; “the prince and the deputy of God on earth”. However, because of man’s fixation to physical and earthy desires he is unable to reach his potential; “Isn’t your substance the subject of Angels’ worship; isn’t the crown of vicariate on your head? You are the first and the last king; but held in deception of binary. You see one (as) two; whether one or two, or hundred, all is you.” 45

As his predecessors Sanai and Attar, the great mystic Jalaluddin Mohammad Balkhi - Rumi جلال الدين محمد بلخى رومي (1207, Balkh, Afghanistan - 1273) describes three kinds of creations: (1) angels that are entirely of knowledge and wisdom; (2) animals, which are empty of knowledge and are unaware of honour and calamity; and (3) the mankind who is half angel and half animal, both in battle as to which one half will win. If wisdom (angelic soul) prevails, man will be better than angels. Nevertheless, if his animal half (soul) prevails, mankind will be lower than animals. 46 Correspondingly to Ghazali, Rumi interprets the physical body as the instrument of the soul. This is analogous to the Aristotelian interpretation of the body as the ‘organ’ of the soul. 47 Rumi compares the association of the soul to a body to a
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relationship between a horse and a rider; inferring “you are the rider; your body is your horse and the world is its manger; the food of the horse is different from food of the rider (soul). You sat on your horse (of body) stuck in the corridor of stable, far away from the kings and sultans of the eternal world.”

Rumi suggests ‘behold animals keeping their heads to earth; you are a man, turn your head atop” Rumi, like his predecessors, Attar and Sanáí considers the human soul is like ‘Messiah in the cradle of the body”; or “child, Jesus sat in an ass for humility’s sake; how else should the zephyr ride on the back of an ass.’

Furthermore, Rumi understands the physical body as a prison where the spirit is captive, saying “I am sure my soul is from the heavens, surely I will travel back there. I am a bird of the Angeles garden, for a few days they made me a cage.”

Similar opinion is expressed by Nasser Khosraw (born in 1004 AD, in Qhubadyan near Balkh, Afghanistan) who equates the physical body to a “prison” that man would never make handsome “even if it is dressed in silk clothes” and “soul’s transitory home, only a handful of soil, man therefore should not cultivate it.” This connotation of the physical body to cage appears to be originating from Plato who refers to the body as the grave (‘soma séma’) of the soul that does not allow man to reach the extra sensual world.

In Rumi’s opinion, people should remember their “divine” origin and should not sell their soul for a handful of dust; “do you know where you have come from? From the midst of all glorious sanctuary, do you not remember at all those happy spiritual stages? Those things have become forgotten by you, so necessarily you are bewildered and distraught. You sell your soul for a handful of dust; what kind of bargain sale is this? Give back the dust and know your own value; you are not a slave, you are a king, an emperor. For your sake, there came out of haven the fair-faced ones, the sweetly hidden.”

A somewhat similar view is expressed by the famous Greek philosopher Plotinus; ‘What has caused the souls, which yet belong to the other world, to have forgotten their Creator and not recognise themselves and Him? The beginning of their evil was audacity, descendence to creation, the first otherness, and the will to be themselves. Because they enjoyed their freedom when they entered the phenomenon, they excessively enjoyed the ability to move freely, and because they were moving to the opposite
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path, they have moved too far; hence they lost the cognition that they are from there; like children that are taken away early from their fathers and for a long time are brought up far away from them; they do not know who they are nor who their father is. Because they have not seen neither Him nor themselves; they did not value themselves because of the lack of knowledge of their origin; they, however, valued other things much higher; they admired them more than themselves; they marvelled everything else and were proud of them (because of possessing them) and became dependent on them.” 45 In Rumi’s opinion, the physical body is an ‘immense deceit’ as the true essence of the human being consists of the soul that is eternal; “before there was the caliche of wine and grape in this world; our soul was drunk from the eternal wine.” 55 In the view of Jalaluddin Balkhi - Rumi, the true substance of mankind is his ‘nafs’ (soul), stressing “O brother you are the very idea, the rest is just bones and roots.”56 This exact idea was expressed in the 16th century by the Spanish philosopher Gomez Pereira. “Nosco me aliquid noscere, & quidquid noscit, est, ergo ego sum.” meaning “I know that I know something, anyone who knows exists, then I exist” 57 and a century later by Descartes, known as Descartes’ dualism – embracing the idea that mind and body are distinct but closely joined58. Descartes gives priority to the mind and argues that the mind could exist without the body. However, the body could not exist without the mind.59

What the purpose of our life is, and what do real happiness and misery consist of?

Muslim philosophers regard the pursuit of knowledge as a divine command. As the greater the knowledge accumulated, the closer it brings one to ultimate happiness. Razi supposes that the human soul needs divine intervention to liberate itself from the seductive and defiling matter of the world. In Spiritual Medicine (Al-Tibb al_ruhání الطب الروحاني), Razi expresses a belief in the transmigration of souls; stating the rational soul of an individual needs to liberate itself from the seductive and defiling matter of the world lest it be reincarnated in another, not necessarily human, body.60 The idea of transmigration of souls has its roots in the ancient faith of the Upanishads,61 but it has also been expressed by the Greek philosopher Pythagoras, who lived between years 580 and 500 BC. In Pythagoras’s view, after

56Balkhi, Jalaluddin Mohamad, Maulana Fihe Ma Fihe; Moqhadema, Tashih wa Taliqhat, Doctor Bahman Nehzat, Tehran: Entesharat e Sokhan, (2016), 159.
59Georges Dicker, Descartes: An Analytic and Historical Introduction (OUP, 2013), 301.
60Alfred, Arabic and Islamic Psychology and Philosophy of Mind, 3.
61Störg, Weltgeschichte Der Philosophie (Malé Dějiny Filozofie), 39.
death, human souls go through a long process of purification and reincarnation (transmigration), including reincarnating as animals. Analogously to Upanishads’ doctrine, Pythagoras also believed one could achieve redemption only if they refrain from physical desire and live a strict ascetic way of life.\textsuperscript{62} Contrary to Razi, Farabi does not believe in the transmigration of souls. Farabi is of the opinion that beyond the individual intellect (soul) there lies a universal Agent Intellect, which he calls Active Intellect, \textit{al-\'aql al-fa\’\i\d} (العقل الفعال). The Agent Intellect is the formal principle of the soul that is the ultimate mediator and final cause of the individual intellect. It both facilitates the individual intellect's operations and, serving as an example of perfect being, drawing it back towards itself through acts of intellection, \textit{ta\’aql} ( تعالى). The more the individual’s intellect (his soul) is absorbed in theoretical activity, the greater its accumulation of scientific knowledge; each step bringing it closer to that totality of knowledge and essential being encapsulated (فناً) in the Agent Intellect. For Fárábi as stated in \textit{Ārā’ ahl al-Madinatul-Fadela wa Madâtehā}, the formation of a substantial amount of knowledge is that which forms and enriches us, creating a substance that in its immateriality resembles the Creator. This represents “ultimate happiness” – \textit{al-sa\’\adah al-quswâ} (السعادة القصوي) and an afterlife – \textit{al-hayâh al-\’akhîrah} (الحياه الآخره).\textsuperscript{63} In this regard, Farabi is in agreement with Al-Kindî who in his Statement on the Soul (\textit{Risâlah fil-Aql}) considers the human soul’s desire to resemble his Creator whose proximity is his happiness; hence a superior person, or fâdil (فاضل) who avoids physical desires and pursues wisdom, or hikmah (حكمه), justice, goodness, and truth resemble the Creator. This resemblance, however, relates to God’s activities, not to His essence.\textsuperscript{64} Notwithstanding, for Avicenna, the materialisation of knowledge alone is not sufficient for the ultimate salvation of the soul. Avicenna postulates that depending on the amount of knowledge the individual accumulates, but also on the life the person lives (with its virtues or vices), the immortal soul experiences continuous pleasure or pain. Avicenna describes four categories of souls after the separation from the physical body; (1) Firstly, those souls that acquire knowledge, \textit{ullum} and \textit{ma\’arif} (علوم و معارف) and are free from physical desires will be in absolute happiness, or \textit{sa\’\adab mutlaq} (سعادة مطلق); (2) Secondly, those souls that have obtained knowledge, but because they are (still) contaminated with sins will be suffering as long as the pollution (of their souls) lasts. Nonetheless, with the lapse of time and as the contamination fades away; those souls will reach ultimate happiness; (3) thirdly, souls that have not attained any knowledge, despite being innocent and sinless, will be experiencing eternal suffering as they were ignorant of the righteous and have not tried for perfection; and (4) finally, souls of those who are innocent, like children, or during their lives followed the righteous paths of prophets but did not (knowingly) pursue wisdom or have not been guided;
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these two groups will experience neither absolute happiness, \textit{sa'âdah mutlaq}, سعاده مطلق nor absolute suffering, \textit{shaqhâb mutlaq}, شقاوه مطلق.\footnote{Sina, \textit{Taberi, S.M. A Translation of Seventeen Essays from The Collected Essays}, 602–4.}

In accordance with Muslim philosophers, Ghazali believes that the soul in its essence is ‘thirsty’ for redemption, \textit{sa'âdah}, which he defines as ‘the knowledge of God and the observation of His beauty’. Ghazali supposes the soul has come to this world as a traveller and will return to its native land near God. It is here that this traveller soul, by using his bodily senses, acquires knowledge of the works of God, and through them, of God Himself, the sight of who will constitute his future beatitude. “It is for the acquirement of this knowledge that the spirit of man has descended into this world of water and clay.”\footnote{Mohammad bin Mohammad, \textit{Gozidah-e Kimyaye Sa'adat}, 69–70.} Ghazali considers God created man in his image, hence if man avoids damnification \textit{mohlekāt} (such as lust, hatred, greed, jealousy, animosity, stinginess, pride, etc.), and pursues redemption, \textit{monajeyāt} (such as repent, patience, gratitude, fear, hope, ascetics, sincerity, reliance, remembering death, etc.) he will achieve the ultimate happiness.\footnote{Mohammad bin Mohammad, 30–35.} Correspondingly, Sanáí believes man is the intention of creation and the purpose of existence; he is divinely nurtured and the sight of Creation. Man, has been given obligation, intellect and insight. Heaven, earth and time are all for him.\footnote{Qaznavi Abolmajd Majdoud ibne Adam, \textit{Hadiqat-Ol-Haqiqat va Shariat-Ol-Tariqat. 8th Edition}, 374–75.} In Sanai’s view, man, therefore, if he does not want his eternal garment to be burnt; he shall set fire into the garment of his body.\footnote{Qaznavi Abolmajd Majdoud ibne Adam, 345.} Sana’i understands that the purpose of a human’s life is attaining as much knowledge as possible, because it is knowledge that will take man to the doorstep of the Creator: “When the substance of Adam’s offspring is separated from the attire of water and earth, when the five spies of senses (five sensory organs) are jailed in the prison of nihility; and when his four physical nails (water, wind, fire and air) are sent back to the four mines; his dust will be sent to dust. However, at the day of questions and answers, his soul will be rewarded according to the size of their knowledge,\footnote{Qaznavi Abolmajd Majdoud ibne Adam, 31–32.} and it is the acquired knowledge that will guide man to happiness. However, if ignorant, a person will not reach the other world, will be degraded, and turned into darkness and misery.\footnote{Qaznavi Abolmajd Majdoud ibne Adam, 315.}

\noindent \textbf{Conclusion}

The understanding of the self is of paramount significance because as individuals we wonder who we are; where we come from; what the purpose of our life is, and what true happiness and misery entail. Mankind is composed of an external form or body, and an internal entity, which is one’s true substance, self, mind or soul. Both, Sufi mystics and Muslim philosophers believe that mankind is a union of mind and body; nevertheless, they consider the physical body to be a
deception, as the true essence of human consists of his soul, which is eternal and divine. They emphasize the immortal nature of the soul, created by God that returns through various stages to be in closer proximity of its Creator. They concur “although man is not primordial, he is eternal; while his corpus is terrestrial, his soul is divine; and although his essence is mixed with animal and voracious attributes; if he gets rid of his maleficence, man will reach proximity to God, which represents ultimate happiness.

As far as Muslim philosophers and Sufi mystics are concerned, their perspective emphasizes what has been accentuated throughout history by the philosophies of ancient India and Greece as well as that of Medieval European philosophers. Whether the essence of mankind is called ‘átman’ as expressed by ancient Upanishad faith or ‘jiva’ as described by the Mahavira religion, or ‘the primal fire’ as outlined by Heraclitus, or ‘monad’ as called by Leibniz, or ‘nafs’ as termed by Muslim philosophers or Sufi mystics; it is all the same; the most inner core of human beings – its soul. All philosophers, regardless of their religious beliefs, agree that mankind is created from the same essence that is divine, immortal and ultimately desires to return to its creator.
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