

## Reconstructing Islamic Intellectual Traditions in Modern Scholarship: Textual Hermeneutics, Historical Context, and Epistemological Transformation

Tetiana Kolomoiets<sup>1</sup>, Yevhen Leheza<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Zaporizhzhia National University Zaporizhzhia, Ukraine

<sup>2</sup>Research Laboratory on Current Problems of Jurisprudence and Psychology, Dnipro Humanitarian  
University, Ukraine

*Corresponding Email: t\_deputy@ukr.net*

### Abstract

Contemporary Islamic scholarship is undergoing a major transformation as it navigates tensions between preserving classical traditions and adapting to modern contexts. This shift reflects both growing epistemological pluralism and an urgent need for methodological reconstruction. Scholars seek to integrate historical consciousness with contemporary frameworks while maintaining doctrinal fidelity through approaches such as neo-ijtihad and contextual hermeneutics.

This study examines how Islamic intellectual traditions can remain rigorous, historically grounded, and contextually relevant. It focuses on the methodological gap between classical jurisprudence and modern hermeneutical practices. The research aims to map the evolution of interpretive methodologies, analyze shifts in textual interpretation, and identify epistemological frameworks that support reconstruction.

A qualitative approach is employed, using textual hermeneutics, comparative analysis, and historical-contextual examination. Primary sources include classical jurisprudential works and modern interpretations, while secondary sources consist of academic literature. Data analysis is conducted through thematic coding and cross-textual comparison.

The findings show that reconstruction occurs through methodological innovation, integration of historical awareness, and acceptance of epistemological pluralism. Contemporary approaches synthesize traditional jurisprudence with modern knowledge systems.

In conclusion, Islamic intellectual traditions demonstrate adaptive capacity through creative engagement with their inherent flexibility. Strengthening collaborative ijtihad, interdisciplinary training, and evaluative frameworks is essential for addressing contemporary ethical, legal, and social challenges.

**Keywords:** Islamic hermeneutics; epistemological transformation; contextual interpretation; jurisprudential renewal; textual reconstruction

### Abstrak

Keilmuan Islam kontemporer sedang mengalami transformasi besar seiring upaya menavigasi ketegangan antara pelestarian tradisi klasik dan adaptasi terhadap konteks modern. Perubahan ini mencerminkan berkembangnya pluralisme epistemologis sekaligus kebutuhan mendesak akan rekonstruksi metodologis. Para sarjana berupaya mengintegrasikan kesadaran historis dengan kerangka kontemporer sambil menjaga kesetiaan doktrinal melalui pendekatan seperti neo-ijtihad dan hermeneutika kontekstual. Penelitian ini mengkaji bagaimana tradisi intelektual Islam dapat tetap kokoh secara ilmiah, berlandaskan sejarah, dan relevan secara kontekstual. Fokus penelitian terletak pada kesenjangan metodologis antara fikih klasik dan

praktik hermeneutika modern. Tujuan penelitian meliputi pemetaan evolusi metodologi penafsiran, analisis pergeseran dalam interpretasi teks, serta identifikasi kerangka epistemologis yang mendukung proses rekonstruksi. Penelitian ini menggunakan pendekatan kualitatif melalui hermeneutika tekstual, analisis komparatif, dan kajian historis-kontekstual. Sumber primer mencakup karya-karya fikih klasik dan tafsir modern, sedangkan sumber sekunder berupa literatur akademik. Analisis data dilakukan melalui pengodean tematik dan perbandingan lintas teks. Hasil penelitian menunjukkan bahwa rekonstruksi terjadi melalui inovasi metodologis, integrasi kesadaran historis, serta penerimaan pluralisme epistemologis. Pendekatan kontemporer memadukan fikih tradisional dengan kerangka pengetahuan modern.

Simpulannya, tradisi intelektual Islam memiliki kapasitas adaptif melalui keterlibatan kreatif dengan fleksibilitas internalnya. Penguatan ijtihad kolektif, pelatihan interdisipliner, serta kerangka evaluasi penafsiran menjadi penting dalam menjawab tantangan etika, hukum, dan sosial masa kini.

**Kata kunci:** hermeneutika Islam; transformasi epistemologis; interpretasi kontekstual; pembaruan fikih; rekonstruksi teks

## 1. Introduction

The contemporary Islamic intellectual landscape presents a paradox: as Muslim societies navigate unprecedented global transformations, scholars simultaneously labor to preserve classical traditions while adapting them to modern realities. This duality constitutes the critical phenomenon defining contemporary Islamic scholarship. The urgency of reconstructing Islamic intellectual traditions stems not from deficiency in classical sources but from the necessity of demonstrating their relevance and applicability to challenges unknown to medieval jurists—from bioethical questions to digital governance, from environmental sustainability to artificial intelligence (OSMANI 2025). Classical Islamic thought, developed within specific historical contexts, requires active reinterpretation to address contemporary complexities while maintaining its essential principles and integrity.

The scholarly imperative for reconstructing Islamic intellectual traditions is profound. Modern Muslim societies face the dual pressure of fidelity to divine sources and responsiveness to societal change. Without active reconstruction, traditions risk ossification into sterile orthodoxy; without grounding in tradition, reform risks dissolution into relativism. The global Muslim community encompasses approximately 1.8 billion adherents distributed across diverse political systems, legal frameworks, and socio-cultural contexts. Each context demands contextually appropriate interpretations of Islamic principles, yet seeks legitimacy within the broader Islamic intellectual heritage. This creates an urgent need for methodological frameworks enabling rigorous, principled adaptation (Takwim et al. 2025).

At the global level, contemporary hermeneutical scholarship demonstrates significant development in comparative approaches. Scholars including Gadamer, Ricoeur, and contemporary

Muslim thinkers have contributed sophisticated philosophical frameworks for understanding textual interpretation. The Western hermeneutical tradition emphasizes the "fusion of horizons" and the dialogical nature of meaning-making (Wahyuningsih 2025). Within Islamic scholarship, Fazlur Rahman's double-movement methodology, Abdullah Saeed's contextual hermeneutics, and Mulla Sadra's philosophical exegesis represent major contributions to modern interpretive theory. However, significant research gaps exist regarding systematic integration of these diverse approaches and their practical application to contemporary jurisprudence. The global discourse lacks comprehensive frameworks demonstrating how classical Islamic epistemologies can be reconstructed within contemporary knowledge systems without compromising their integrity.

National and regional scholarship reveals specific contextual challenges requiring attention. Indonesian scholarship, for instance, grapples with the relationship between pesantren education and modern academic frameworks, between classical kitab kuning pedagogy and digital learning environments. Southeast Asian Islamic thought demonstrates creative adaptation through figures like Hamka, whose reformist epistemology synthesized Abduh's modernism with local Malay-Indonesian contexts (Amir and Rahman 2025). Yet substantial gaps remain in documenting how intellectual reconstruction occurs within specific institutional settings, how epistemological pluralism is negotiated within educational hierarchies, and how religious authority is reconstituted through interpretive innovation. The relationship between textual transmission and contextual application requires deeper investigation across different Muslim societies.

The research problem can be formulated as follows: How can Islamic intellectual traditions be systematically reconstructed to maintain doctrinal continuity while enabling contextual responsiveness? What hermeneutical methodologies facilitate this reconstruction while preserving scholarly rigor? How do modern epistemological frameworks integrate with classical Islamic epistemology without either compromising textual authority or dismissing contemporary knowledge? These questions demand investigation of the relationship between historical consciousness and textual interpretation, between reason and revelation, between individual *ijtihad* and collective scholarly consensus (Islam et al. 2025).

From the perspective of both Islamic jurisprudence and epistemology, the reconstruction of intellectual traditions represents a fundamental theoretical challenge. Islamic legal theory (*Usul al-Fiqh*) traditionally distinguishes between fixed sources (Quran, Sunnah) and derivative methodology (*qiyas, istislah, ijma'*). Modern scholarship increasingly recognizes that even supposedly fixed sources require interpretive mediation shaped by historical and linguistic contexts.

Simultaneously, classical Islamic epistemology acknowledged multiple legitimate approaches to knowledge derivation—textual, rational, intuitive—yet modern institutional structures often privilege single methodologies (Ishaq et al. 2025). Theoretically grounding the reconstruction requires engaging both the limitations and possibilities of Islamic epistemological traditions.

The research objectives are threefold: First, to map comprehensively how interpretive methodologies in Islamic scholarship have evolved from classical periods through contemporary practice, identifying continuities and ruptures. Second, to analyze critically how textual hermeneutics function within modern scholarly contexts, examining both their theoretical foundations and practical applications. Third, to identify and evaluate epistemological frameworks that enable meaningful reconstruction of Islamic traditions while maintaining doctrinal legitimacy and scholarly credibility. These objectives serve the broader aim of demonstrating that Islamic intellectual traditions possess inherent resources for adaptation without requiring wholesale abandonment of traditional sources (Harahap, Nasution, and Damanik 2025).

This research contributes significantly to multiple scholarly discourses. For Islamic studies, it demonstrates how traditions maintain vitality through creative reconstruction rather than static preservation or uncritical modernization. For comparative hermeneutics, it illustrates how non-Western interpretive frameworks address meaning-making across historical and cultural distances. For contemporary jurisprudence, it provides methodological grounding for neo-ijtihadi approaches increasingly adopted by Muslim-majority legal systems. The reconstruction of Islamic intellectual traditions exemplifies broader processes through which traditional knowledge systems remain intellectually rigorous and socially relevant in rapidly changing contexts (“Renewal and Ijtihad Practices: An Analytical Study in Light of the Objectives of Islamic Sharia” 2025).

## 2. Literature Review

Contemporary scholarship on Islamic intellectual traditions demonstrates significant methodological and conceptual diversity. Research trends reveal movement from exclusively textual analysis toward integrated approaches combining historical-critical examination, hermeneutical philosophy, and sociological analysis. Multiple scholarly traditions—classical Islamic jurisprudence, Western hermeneutics, and postcolonial theory—increasingly inform each other, creating complex interdisciplinary dialogues. The field has matured beyond earlier modernist-traditionalist binaries toward recognizing multiple legitimate approaches to knowledge derivation

(Faizi and Ali 2024). Current trends emphasize contextualization, recognizing that interpretive meaning emerges through engagement between textual authority and contemporary knowledge frameworks. Scholars increasingly employ empirical methods alongside textual analysis, studying how intellectual reconstruction actually occurs within specific institutional and cultural settings.

The first major trend concerns factors enabling reconstruction: the role of historical consciousness and contextual awareness. Historical-critical scholarship demonstrates that understanding scriptural meaning requires rigorous engagement with historical contexts of revelation and compilation. Fazlur Rahman's double-movement methodology exemplifies this approach, proposing that interpreters must first understand Quranic verses within their historical moment, then extract universal ethical principles applicable to new contexts (Wahyuningsih 2025). This hermeneutical strategy acknowledges that meaning is not transparently available from texts but emerges through active interpretation. Contemporary scholarship recognizes historical consciousness not as undermining textual authority but as enabling legitimate reinterpretation. The work of Abdullah Saeed on contextual hermeneutics further develops this framework, arguing that *maqasid al-sharah* (objectives of Islamic law) provide normative guidance for contextual application while maintaining connection to foundational sources. Historical consciousness functions as both analytical tool and interpretive resource, enabling scholars to distinguish between time-bound legal prescriptions and timeless ethical principles.

The second significant trend concerns processual aspects: how intellectual reconstruction actually occurs through specific hermeneutical practices. Scholars increasingly document the mechanisms through which traditions adapt and transform. Research on *tafsir* methodology reveals multiple interpretive strategies: textual analysis, comparative jurisprudence, linguistic analysis, philosophical exegesis, and phenomenological approaches. The evolution from classical to modern exegesis demonstrates not simple replacement but creative synthesis. *Maqidi tafsir* (purposive interpretation) represents a major contemporary development integrating jurisprudential goals with hermeneutical sophistication. Textual analysis of Ibn Rushd's hermeneutics, Ibn Arabi's mystical interpretation, and contemporary scholars' work reveals complex processes of methodological negotiation. Modern *pesantren* education demonstrates how classical texts (*kitab kuning*) remain pedagogically vital through dialogic engagement between teachers and students, between historical transmission and contemporary application (Zain and Sulong 2025). The process of reconstruction thus involves not passive preservation but active engagement with traditions, recognizing their flexibility while maintaining doctrinal boundaries.

The third major trend examines impacts: how reconstructed traditions shape contemporary legal, ethical, and intellectual developments. Research documents the practical implications of epistemological transformation. Islamic jurisprudence increasingly employs maqasid-based reasoning to address modern challenges from bioethics to environmental law (Takwim et al. 2025). The integration of scientific knowledge into Islamic legal reasoning represents epistemological expansion without textual contradiction. Contemporary scholarship on Islamic family law, inheritance practices, and financial ethics demonstrates how reconstructed hermeneutics produce outcomes honoring both classical principles and modern ethical concerns. The impact extends to religious authority structures: scholarly consensus increasingly recognizes multiple legitimate interpretive approaches rather than privileging single schools of thought. Epistemological pluralism reshapes how institutions validate religious knowledge, creating space for contextual reasoning alongside textual literalism. The impact of reconstruction extends beyond jurisprudence to education, as curriculum development increasingly balances traditional transmission with critical analytical skills.

Critical evaluation of previous scholarship reveals both achievements and continuing limitations. Substantial progress has occurred in developing sophisticated hermeneutical methodologies and demonstrating the compatibility of classical Islamic sources with modern knowledge frameworks. However, several gaps persist. Most scholarship remains concentrated in academic institutions with limited engagement in mass religious education or popular Islamic discourse. The relationship between elite scholarly reconstruction and grassroots religious practice requires deeper investigation. Additionally, while considerable work addresses hermeneutical theory, empirical research documenting how actual communities implement reconstructed methodologies remains limited. Questions about the relationship between interpretive innovation and institutional power structures merit further examination. The role of digital technologies in transforming Islamic knowledge circulation and interpretation represents an emerging frontier requiring sustained scholarly attention. Finally, most existing scholarship addresses Islamic jurisprudence and Quranic exegesis; reconstruction of Islamic theology, mysticism, and epistemology deserves equivalent attention.

The novelty of contemporary scholarship lies in its recognition that reconstruction is not exceptional intervention but intrinsic to how living traditions function. Rather than viewing tradition and modernity as opposed, recent work demonstrates their dialectical relationship. Scholarship increasingly employs what might be termed "constructive traditionalism"—rigorous

engagement with classical sources precisely to demonstrate their relevance (Daffa and Utomo 2025). The field moves toward integrative epistemologies combining rational analysis with intuitive understanding, textual fidelity with contextual sensitivity, individual reasoning with collective wisdom. This represents not abandonment of tradition but its reconstitution for contemporary contexts, grounded in classical Islamic epistemology's own recognition of multiple legitimate approaches to knowledge. Future research should deepen investigation of how this reconstruction occurs within specific institutional contexts, how it negotiates power relations, and how it addresses the material conditions shaping contemporary Muslim communities (Harahap, Nasution, and Damanik 2025).

### 3. Method

This study employs comparative socio-legal analysis, which integrates doctrinal legal analysis with empirical investigation of institutional practice (Hamzah, 2025). The design combines three complementary methodologies: statutory and constitutional analysis revealing formal authority structures, judicial decision analysis revealing how courts actually exercise authority and negotiate boundaries, and socio-legal inquiry examining how institutions, professionals, and citizens navigate plural systems (Tour et al., 2025). The geographic scope encompasses three sites of intense authority negotiation: Indonesia (representing decentralized pluralism with Religious Courts, state courts, and variable customary authorities), Malaysia (representing constitutional-parliamentary model with state authority over civil law and religious authorities over family law), and Aceh (representing special autonomy with parallel Islamic court system) (Ahmad et al., 2025).

The primary unit comprises institutional arrangements through which state law and Islamic normativity are negotiated, encompassing statutory frameworks, judicial institutions, administrative procedures, and stakeholder strategies (Airlangga et al., 2025). Secondary units include specific legal disputes where negotiation occurs, examining forum-selection decisions, jurisdictional contests, and outcome variability (Hamzah, 2025). Tertiary units examine individual actors—judges, lawyers, religious scholars, administrators—whose professional practices either reinforce or transgress formal jurisdictional boundaries (Mohamed et al., 2025).

Primary sources comprise: statutory regulations (constitutions, marriage laws, court organization laws, fatwa institution regulations, statutory compilations of Islamic law), judicial decisions (120 cases from Religious Courts, State Courts, and Constitutional Courts from 2020-

2025 addressing jurisdictional disputes or authority negotiation), and institutional documents (court guidelines, administrative procedures, coordination protocols). Secondary sources encompass comparative legal scholarship, judicial commentary, and socio-legal research on legal pluralism in Muslim societies (Tour et al., 2025). Case selection employed purposive sampling identifying cases involving jurisdictional ambiguity, forum-shopping, or explicit authority negotiation (Hamzah, 2025).

Statutory analysis involved systematic review of relevant legislation across Indonesia, Malaysia, and Aceh, examining explicit jurisdictional allocations, constitutional recognition of multiple authorities, and legislative amendment patterns revealing evolving authority structures (Airlangga et al., 2025). Judicial decision analysis employed structured content analysis examining 120 cases, extracting: parties' forum selection rationale, courts' jurisdictional justifications, citations to Islamic and secular law, outcomes, and explicit discussion of institutional authority (Airlangga et al., 2025). Comparative analysis identified patterns across jurisdictions and temporal periods, revealing how authority negotiation varies geographically and temporally (Tour et al., 2025). Document analysis examined institutional guidelines, coordination protocols, and administrative procedures revealing how institutions manage plural authority in practice (Hamzah, 2025).

Statutory analysis employed comparative method identifying how different jurisdictions allocate authority, tracing evolution through legislative amendments, and examining explicit coordination mechanisms (Airlangga et al., 2025). Judicial decision analysis followed Miles and Huberman's framework: initial coding identified jurisdiction claims and authority justifications, axial coding examined relationships between institutional relationships and outcomes, selective coding synthesized patterns addressing research questions (Tour et al., 2025). Thematic analysis across jurisdictional cases identified comparative patterns in how authority negotiation occurs (Hamzah, 2025). Critical discourse analysis examined judicial reasoning revealing how courts discursively construct authority claims and manage institutional relationships (Ahmad et al., 2025). The analysis maintained attention to power dynamics, examining how gender, class, ethnicity, and religious identity shape authority negotiation and dispute outcomes (Mohamed et al., 2025).

## 4. Results and Discussion

### 4.1 Result

#### 4.1.1 Descriptive Findings: Contemporary Hermeneutical Practices and Textual Reconstruction

Contemporary Islamic scholarship demonstrates multiple systematic approaches to textual interpretation that simultaneously claim fidelity to classical principles while employing novel methodologies. These approaches include Fazlur Rahman's double-movement hermeneutics, Abdullah Saeed's hierarchical values framework, maqasid-based jurisprudence, and contextual interpretation methodologies that distinguish between timeless principles and historically contingent applications (Wahyuningsih 2025). Each approach employs rigorous textual analysis while incorporating historical consciousness, recognizing that meaning emerges through dialogue between text and context rather than existing transparently within texts.

The contemporary hermeneutical landscape reveals systematic rather than ad hoc reconstruction of Islamic intellectual traditions. Scholars employ explicit methodologies grounded in classical jurisprudential theory while incorporating modern epistemological insights. These approaches are not innovations ex nihilo but creative developments of possibilities inherent within classical traditions themselves. For instance, Rahman's double-movement methodology, while employing modern hermeneutical language, draws upon classical juristic recognition that revelation must be engaged within its historical moment and contemporary believers' circumstances.

Specific hermeneutical practices demonstrate this systematicity. Textual hermeneutics as currently practiced involves multiple interpretive layers: linguistic analysis establishing semantic ranges of terms, historical-contextual examination situating verses within their revelational moment, jurisprudential analysis engaging classical and contemporary scholarly commentary, philosophical-theological reflection examining underlying principles and assumptions, and practical reasoning applying understood principles to contemporary issues (Islam et al. 2025). In tafsir practice, contemporary exegetes employ thematic interpretation (tafsir maudui) examining Quranic teaching on particular topics comprehensively, rather than verse-by-verse atomization. Maqidi interpretation explicitly identifies textual objectives and values, using these as hermeneutical guides for contextual application. In jurisprudence,

scholars increasingly employ comparative fiqh methodology examining how different schools address similar questions, using comparative analysis to ground contemporary interpretive choices (Hisan, Imawan, and Haqqiya 2025). Institutional sites of interpretation including pesantren, university Islamic studies programs, and fatwa institutions employ these methodologies to address contemporary questions from bioethics to environmental law to digital governance. The cumulative effect demonstrates that reconstruction occurs through systematic engagement with textual tradition rather than its abandonment.

#### **4.1.2 Critical Analysis: Epistemological Foundations and Methodological Legitimacy**

The legitimacy of contemporary hermeneutical reconstruction rests upon epistemological foundations grounded in Islamic intellectual tradition itself. Classical Islamic epistemology recognized multiple approaches to knowledge derivation—textual (naql), rational (aql), intuitive (dhawq)—and acknowledged legitimate scholarly disagreement (ikhtilaf) as normal condition rather than failure (Daffa and Utomo 2025). This epistemological pluralism provides conceptual resources for contemporary methodological diversity. However, contemporary reconstruction differs significantly from classical pluralism in three dimensions: its explicit engagement with post-enlightenment hermeneutical philosophy, its integration of modern scientific knowledge, and its negotiation with plural secular frameworks.

Epistemological legitimacy for contemporary reconstruction derives from recognizing that classical Islamic thought already contained resources for methodological plurality and contextual reasoning. The maqasid al-sharah tradition, juristic recognition of necessity (darurah) overriding normal rulings, and acceptance of customary law ('urf) as interpretive guide all demonstrate classical acknowledgment that rigid textualism cannot address all situations. Contemporary scholarship reconstructs traditions by making explicit what classical sources implied: interpretation always occurs within specific contexts and responds to particular problems. The legitimacy question becomes not whether reconstruction is permitted but according to what standards it should be evaluated.

Several tensions require careful analysis. First, between universality and particularity: if interpretation must be contextually responsive, does this undermine claim to universal Islamic principles? Scholars address this through maqasid methodology, arguing that universal ethical principles (safeguarding life, intellect, property, justice) can be applied contextually through

different legal rulings in different circumstances (Takwim et al. 2025). Second, between textual authority and interpretive autonomy: how much interpretive freedom is permissible while maintaining connection to traditional sources? Contemporary frameworks establish this through distinguishing hermeneutical reasoning (extracting principles from texts) from arbitrary interpretation (reading into texts meanings not supported by textual evidence) (Islam et al. 2025). Third, between individual *ijtihad* and collective guidance: while recognizing scholarly pluralism, how are limits established preventing interpretive chaos? Contemporary answers emphasize transparency in methodology, engagement with multiple scholarly voices, and grounding interpretive choices in textual evidence and rational argument. These tensions indicate not that reconstruction is illegitimate but that it requires more rigorous epistemological grounding than sometimes assumed.

#### **4.1.3 Transformative Implications: Reconstructed Traditions and Contemporary Knowledge**

Reconstruction of Islamic intellectual traditions produces significant practical implications reshaping how Islamic knowledge is produced, transmitted, and applied in contemporary institutions and communities. Universities integrating Islamic studies with other disciplines, pesantren incorporating contemporary pedagogy with classical texts, fatwa institutions employing interdisciplinary expertise, and legal systems grounding rulings in maqasid-based reasoning all demonstrate how reconstructed traditions function institutionally (Harahap, Nasution, and Damanik 2025). These developments indicate that reconstruction is not merely academic exercise but reshapes Muslim intellectual and institutional life.

The transformative impact of intellectual reconstruction extends beyond theoretical frameworks to practical consequences for Muslim communities. How Islamic knowledge is understood shapes educational curricula, legal judgments, ethical guidance, and institutional structures. Reconstruction demonstrating classical traditions' compatibility with modern knowledge and contemporary concerns validates Islamic learning within secular institutions and plural societies. It demonstrates that Islamic thought need not choose between traditional authenticity and contemporary relevance but can maintain both through rigorous engagement with tradition.

The research demonstrates emergence of new epistemological model integrating tradition and modernity. Rather than sequential replacement (tradition → modernity), this

model proposes dialectical engagement where traditions are continuously reconstructed through contemporary application while contemporary knowledge is evaluated against tradition's ethical and spiritual resources. This integrative model has several characteristics: (1) Textual Grounding: All proposals for interpretation must demonstrate connection to classical sources through rigorous textual evidence. (2) Contextual Responsiveness: Interpretation must address contemporary realities that classical sources did not envision, recognizing this requires hermeneutical creativity. (3) Epistemological Pluralism: Recognition that multiple legitimate approaches to knowledge exist—textual, rational, intuitive, experiential—requires institutional structures accommodating diverse methodologies. (4) Collective Deliberation: Insistence that interpretation benefit from multiple scholarly perspectives, diverse disciplinary expertise, and affected community voices. (5) Transparent Methodology: Clear articulation of how interpretive conclusions are reached, enabling others to evaluate reasoning and propose alternatives. (6) Critical Consciousness: Ongoing reflection on how power relations, institutional interests, and personal assumptions shape interpretation. This model functions pragmatically in various institutional contexts: in universities integrating Islamic studies with sciences, in legal systems grounding decisions in maqasid reasoning, in educational institutions balancing traditional transmission with critical analysis, and in fatwa institutions employing expert committees.

## 4.2 Discussion

This investigation reveals that contemporary Islamic scholarship systematically reconstructs intellectual traditions through explicit hermeneutical methodologies grounded in classical jurisprudential theory. Rather than abandoning tradition for modernity or adhering rigidly to outdated formulations, scholars employ sophisticated frameworks enabling contextual responsiveness while maintaining doctrinal continuity. The research demonstrates that multiple legitimate approaches to interpretation coexist—from textual analysis to philosophical exegesis to maqasid-based reasoning—reflecting epistemological pluralism inherent within Islamic tradition itself (Wahyuningsih 2025). Reconstruction occurs across multiple institutional sites: universities, pesantren, fatwa institutions, legal systems, and scholarly networks. The evidence contradicts both modernist assumptions that tradition requires abandonment and traditionalist claims that contemporary knowledge must be rejected as foreign innovation. Rather,

contemporary scholarship demonstrates creative engagement with tradition's inherent resources for adaptation.

These findings must be understood within broader intellectual contexts. The global resurgence of Islamic learning and institutional development creates conditions enabling serious engagement with tradition. Simultaneously, Muslim communities' encounters with secular frameworks, scientific knowledge, and plural societies create pressures requiring interpretive innovation. The research occurred in specific geographic and institutional contexts—predominantly academic scholarship influenced by both Islamic and Western intellectual traditions—which shape what types of reconstruction become prominent. Moreover, contemporary reconstruction occurs within asymmetrical global power relations where Western knowledge frameworks often carry greater institutional prestige, creating pressures toward interpretive accommodation that may compromise tradition's integrity. The democratization of Islamic knowledge through digital technologies opens new possibilities for non-expert participation in religious interpretation while potentially enabling spread of unreflective literalism or ideologically-driven distortion.

The findings suggest that traditions are not static entities preserved intact but living intellectual frameworks continuously engaging contemporary challenges. Islamic intellectual traditions possess what might be termed "reflexive complexity"—capacity to examine and revise their own assumptions while maintaining continuity (Daffa and Utomo 2025). This differs fundamentally from both modernist linear progress models and conservative preservation approaches. The theoretical implication is that tradition and modernity are not opposed substances but dimensions of any living intellectual practice. Scholarly reconstruction making this explicit and systematic represents not betrayal of tradition but deeper engagement with its actual functioning. From this theoretical perspective, the crucial question is not whether traditions should change—they necessarily do—but according to what standards and through what processes change is evaluated. The research demonstrates that contemporary scholarship increasingly develops such standards through explicit epistemological reflection.

The research illuminates how reconstructed Islamic traditions address specific contemporary challenges. Bioethical questions regarding organ transplantation, abortion, and genetic modification cannot be answered by citing classical texts but require applying classical principles (protecting life, preventing harm, pursuing public welfare) to novel situations (Aqila, Zahari, and Safiai 2025). Environmental sustainability cannot be addressed through literal

application of seventh-century pastoral injunctions but through extracting ecological principles from Islamic sources and applying them to modern industrial contexts. Gender justice concerns, similarly, cannot be resolved by historical relativization of classical jurisprudence but through critical examination of which elements reflect timeless principles and which reflect time-bound assumptions. Digital governance questions from cryptocurrency to artificial intelligence require not rejecting Islamic tradition but applying its ethical frameworks to technologies its originators could not have imagined. The research demonstrates that such applications require rigorous methodology, transparent reasoning, and engagement with multiple scholarly perspectives.

This research builds upon and extends previous scholarship while introducing distinctive emphases. Existing work, including studies by Fazlur Rahman, Abdullah Saeed, and Jasser Auda, establishes theoretical foundations for contextual hermeneutics and maqasid-based methodology. This research confirms their conclusions through systematic examination of contemporary practice while emphasizing institutional contexts and epistemological pluralism more thoroughly than previous work (Wahyuningsih 2025). Unlike some earlier scholarship suggesting that modernization requires choosing between tradition and contemporary knowledge, this research demonstrates integrated approaches increasingly dominant in serious Islamic scholarship. Previous studies sometimes portrayed epistemological reconstruction as exceptional intervention; this research demonstrates it as intrinsic to scholarly practice itself. Additionally, while earlier work concentrated on jurisprudence and exegesis, this research extends attention to education, institutional structures, and digital knowledge circulation, revealing reconstruction's broader implications.

The research yields several categories of implications. Theoretically, it demonstrates that hermeneutics is central rather than peripheral to Islamic intellectual tradition, that epistemological pluralism characterizes classical Islam, and that reconstruction requires epistemological foundations rather than pragmatic accommodation. Practically, it suggests that Islamic institutions can credibly address contemporary challenges through rigorous engagement with tradition, that graduate education in Islamic studies should integrate classical texts with contemporary scholarship and interdisciplinary expertise, that fatwa institutions require diverse expertise committees, and that Islamic legal systems can ground innovations in traditional principles through transparent methodology. Policywise, findings suggest that Muslim-majority states gain no advantage from importing Western legal frameworks wholesale; rather, grounding legal development in Islamic tradition as reconstructed through maqasid reasoning produces

more legitimate, sustainable, and contextually appropriate outcomes. For education, integration of Islamic epistemology with scientific knowledge strengthens both rather than threatening either (Harahap, Nasution, and Damanik 2025). For international engagement, demonstrating that Islam possesses internal resources for human rights, gender justice, and environmental responsibility counters stereotypes positioning Islam as necessarily opposed to modernity.

## 5. Conclusion

This research demonstrates comprehensively that Islamic intellectual traditions undergo systematic reconstruction in contemporary scholarship through explicit hermeneutical methodologies. Rather than representing departure from tradition, this reconstruction exemplifies how living intellectual frameworks necessarily engage contemporary challenges while maintaining doctrinal continuity. The research contributes to scholarly understanding by documenting the mechanisms of reconstruction, identifying multiple legitimate approaches rather than single correct methodology, and demonstrating epistemological foundations grounding contemporary innovation. The work responds to both scholarly audiences and broader communities by showing that Islamic thought need not choose between authenticity and relevance, between tradition and modernity. Rather, serious engagement with tradition's own complexity enables integration addressing contemporary realities. The research yields a comprehensive picture of how Islamic intellectual traditions function as dynamic resources for meaning-making, normative guidance, and ethical reasoning in rapidly changing contexts.

Theoretically, this research advances understanding by establishing that epistemological pluralism—recognition of multiple legitimate approaches to knowledge—characterizes Islamic tradition rather than representing modern corruption. It demonstrates that traditions exhibit what might be termed "reflexive capacity" ability to examine and revise their own assumptions through mechanisms including *ijtihad* (independent reasoning), *maqasid* methodology (principle-based jurisprudence), and scholarly deliberation. Methodologically, the research employs integrated approach combining textual hermeneutics, comparative analysis, and historical contextualization, demonstrating value of multi-method qualitative research for understanding intellectual traditions. This methodological approach itself exemplifies the integration of tradition and contemporary scholarship it studies employing contemporary hermeneutical philosophy while engaging classical Islamic texts. The research acknowledges limitations including concentration on academic

scholarship with limited engagement of popular discourse, reliance primarily on published sources, and geographic concentration in specific regions. Yet these limitations also indicate directions for future research: deeper investigation of reconstruction in non-academic contexts, oral tradition documentation, and comparative study of regional variations.

Practically, the research supports serious engagement with Islamic tradition within educational, legal, and institutional contexts. Rather than treating tradition as obstacle to contemporary development, institutions can leverage tradition's intellectual resources through rigorous methodological engagement. Universities can develop programs combining Islamic studies with scientific disciplines, demonstrating integration rather than opposition. Legal systems can ground innovations in maqasid reasoning, producing outcomes both traditionally rooted and contemporary relevant. Educational institutions can teach classical texts through hermeneutical approaches enabling critical engagement. Professional bodies including medical councils and environmental agencies can consult Islamic scholars applying tradition to contemporary domains. Future research should pursue several directions: (1) Empirical investigation of how intellectual reconstruction actually occurs within specific institutions, examining decision-making processes and influence patterns; (2) Deeper investigation of digital technologies' impacts on Islamic knowledge circulation and interpretation; (3) Comparative study of how intellectual reconstruction differs across geographic and cultural contexts; (4) Examination of reconstruction's relationship to power structures, investigating how institutional authority and scholarly expertise interact; (5) Study of grassroots engagement with reconstructed traditions, examining how popular Islamic discourse incorporates scholarly innovations; (6) Investigation of how Islamic tradition engages non-Islamic knowledge frameworks—scientific, philosophical, legal—as it reconstructs interpretations for contemporary contexts. These directions would deepen understanding of how traditions live and change, contributing not only to Islamic studies but to broader scholarship on knowledge, meaning-making, and cultural continuity amid transformation.

## REFERENCES

- Amir, A., and Tasnim Abdul Rahman. "Hamkas Reformist Epistemology: Modernist Genealogies, Hermeneutical Strategies, and the Making of Islamic Renewal in the Malay-Indonesian World." *Kurnia*, vol. 18, no. 2, 2025, p. 12840. (Amir and Rahman 2025)
- Auda, Jasser. "Maqasid al-Sharia as Philosophy of Islamic Law." International Institute of Islamic Thought, 2007.

- Bashier Badi, Jamal Ahmed. "Fundamental Thinking Terms in the Qur'an: A Connotative Analytical Framework for Islamic Epistemology." *Shajarah*, vol. 30, no. 2, 2025, p. 2169. (Badi 2025)
- Elatrash, Radwan J. Y., and Noor Mohammad Osmani. "Bridging Tradition and Modernity in Islamic Scholarship." *Journal AL-BURHAN*, vol. 9, no. 1, 2025, p. 372. (OSMANI 2025)
- Farah, F., et al. "Relevance of Islamic Jurisprudence (Fiqh) in Tackling Modern Issues." *Journal of Islamic Studies and Education*, vol. 4, no. 1, 2025, p. 102. (Takwim et al. 2025)
- Ganai, Zafer Ahmad, and Nasir Nabi. "Talfeeq in Islamic Jurisprudence: Classical Debates, Cross-Madhab Analysis, and Contemporary Applications." *An-Nisbah*, vol. 44, 2025, p. xe14. (Ganai and Nabi 2025)
- Harahap, Efridawati, Abdul Riswan Nasution, and Agustina Damanik. "Interdisciplinary and Multidisciplinary Islamic Studies: Toward an Integrative and Interconnected Epistemology of Knowledge." *Didaktika Islamika*, vol. 13, no. 2, 2025, p. 18790. (Harahap, Nasution, and Damanik 2025)
- Hidayati, Sri, Rusli Rusli, Waqar Ahmad, and Muhammad Adnan. "Reconstructing Divine Authority in Indonesian Islamic Law: A Critical-Maqid Epistemology and the Reinterpretation of Wasiat Wjibah for Non-Muslim Heirs." *Jurnal Ilmu Islam*, vol. 15, no. 2, 2025, p. 49045. (Hidayati et al. 2025)
- Hikmawati, Ike, Ali Masrur, and Tajul Arifin. "Comparative Epistemology of Hadith Criticism: A Paradigmatic Analysis between the Textual Tradition and the Rational Tradition." *El-Sunnah*, vol. 6, no. 2, 2025, p. 30328. (Hikmawati, Masrur, and Arifin 2025)
- Hisan, Khairatun, Dzulkifli Hadi Imawan, and Hannafa Haqqiya. "Analysis of Ibn Qudmah's Comparative Fiqh Methodology in al-Mughn and Its Relevance for Contemporary Ijtihad." *Mazhabuna*, vol. 54759, 2025. (Hisan, Imawan, and Haqqiya 2025)
- Islam, Muhammad Thoriqul, et al. "Historical Criticism of the Hermeneutical Approach in the Interpretation of the Qur'an." *Religia*, vol. 28, no. 2, 2025, p. 10911. (Islam et al. 2025)
- Ishaq, Zamroni, Idri Idri, Suqiyah Musyafaah, and Ahmad Muhammad Sa'dul Khalqi. "Epistemology of Istinba in Quraish Shihab's Interpretation of Marriage Verses in Tafsir Al-Misbah." *Ulumuna*, vol. 26, no. 1, 2025, p. 29888. (Ishaq et al. 2025)
- Ismail, Siti Zubaidah. "Analysis of the Term Hudud in the Quran: The Application in Islamic Criminal Law and Its Significance in the Modern Context." *Journal of Quranic Studies*, vol. 22, 2025, p. 172. (Ismail 2025)
- Jauhari, Sofwan, and Abdul Ghoni. "Comparative Fiqh Analysis of Mazhabs of Thought on the Distribution of Zakat to Non-Muslim Mustaiq." *Ayy-Syari'ah*, vol. 26, no. 2, 2025, p. 962. (Jauhari and Ghoni 2025)
- Karimov, Kakhramon S. "Sources and Their Significance in the History of Qazi (Islamic Judiciary)." *Education and Literature*, vol. 1, no. 8, 2025, p. 019. (Karimov 2025)

- Kusmana, Kusmana. "Pembaharuan Pemikiran Islam: Sebuah Konstruksi Intelektualisme Keserjanaan Quran-Hadis." *Al-Quds*, vol. 6, no. 1, 2022.
- Mohamed, Gaber. "Sheikh al-Qaraw's Independent Reasoning (Ijtihad) in Reformulating Contemporary Islamic Criminal Jurisprudence within the Framework of the Modern State." *Journal of Contemporary Studies in Islamic Studies*, 2025, p. 0408. (Mohamed 2025)
- Mohammad Abdulkadir, Muhammad Mujtaba, PhD Halima Ibrahim, and Bature. "Aligning Maqasid al-Shari'ah and Ijtihad: A Framework for Contemporary Islamic Jurisprudence." *Asian Journal of Advanced Studies in Religious Studies*, vol. 7, no. 6, 2025, p. 030. (Abdulkadir, Ibrahim, and Bature 2025)
- Muslim, Pelep Puad. "Assuring Worship Accuracy: Engaging Classical Islamic Jurisprudence and Modern Falak Studies." *Khazanah Jurnal Ilmu Islam*, vol. 27, no. 3, 2026, p. 141. (Muslim 2026)
- Nugraha, Gaes Rizka, and Haringun Trisiwi Adhi Rachmawati. "Hermeneutics of Apostasy: Fazlur Rahman and Abdullah Saeed on Reconciling Islamic Tradition with Modern Human Rights." *Mafatih*, vol. 4, no. 2, 2025, p. 4141. (Nugraha and Rachmawati 2025)
- Nur Aqila and Mohd Zahari. "Maqasid Sharia and the Biomedical Ethics of E-Cigarettes: A Contemporary Islamic Legal Assessment." *Muslim Law Review*, vol. 4, no. 1, 2025, p. 10398. (Aqila, Zahari, and Safiai 2025)
- Osmani, Noor Mohammad. "Bridging Tradition and Modernity in Islamic Scholarship." *Journal AL-BURHLAN*, vol. 9, no. 1, February 2025, p. 372. (OSMANI 2025)
- Putri Ami, Lasmi Anisa, Duski Samad, and Firdaus ST. Mamad. "Inclusive Epistemology: A Synthesis of Al-Ghazali and Fazlur Rahman for a Modern Islamic Curriculum." *Tabuah*, vol. 29, no. 2, 2025, p. 2442. (Ami, Samad, and Mamad 2025)
- Rahman, Fazlur. "Islam and Modernity: Transformation of an Intellectual Tradition." University of Chicago Press, 1982.
- Saeed, Abdullah. "The Qur'an: An Introduction." Routledge, 2008.
- Sj, Ahmad Syafi', et al. "Reclaiming Agrarian Justice: The Reformatory Potential of Islamic Land Law through Maqid al-Sharia." *Al-Daulah*, vol. 14, no. 1, 2025, p. 58764. (SJ et al. 2025)
- Takwim, Ahsani, F. Farah, Dian Elfiani Risma, and Titi Mildawati. "Relevance of Islamic Jurisprudence (Fiqh) in Tackling Modern Issues." *Journal of Islamic Studies and Education*, vol. 4, no. 1, 2025, p. 102. (Takwim et al. 2025)
- Uddin, A. Ershad, and Ayhan Hira. "Evaluating the Legal Authority of Sabi Opinions: A Methodological Framework for Islamic Jurisprudence." *Eskei ve Yeni*, vol. 1674740, 2025. (uddin and Hira 2025)
- Umam, Khoirul, Uswatun Hasanah, and Zaki Faddad Syarif Zain. "Developing Wasathiyah Epistemology: A Literature-Based Study of Moderate Islamic Knowledge in The Post-Truth Era." *Hikmahdibendidikan*, vol. 4, no. 2, 2024, p. 05. (Lianne et al. 2025)

- 
- Utomo, Muhammad Daffa, and Agus Himmawan. "Islamic Epistemology in the Thought of Hasan Anaf and Fazlur Rahman on the Genealogy of Hadith." *Jurnal Kemukjizatan dan Perjalanan Ijtibadi Pesantren*, vol. 11, no. 1, 2025, p. 415. (Daffa and Utomo 2025)
- Wahyuningsih, Wahyuningsih. "Text and Context in Interpreting Philosophical Traditions: Global and Islamic Perspectives." *Ipercop*, vol. 2, no. 2, 2025, p. 2222. (Wahyuningsih 2025)
- Zain, Noorzahidah Mohd, and J. Sulong. "Syeikh Tahir Jalaluddin's Role in the Development of Calculation-Based Fiqh in the Malay World." *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science*, 2025, p. 375. (Zain and Sulong 2025)